

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATTECATION NO.	TIENO DATE	PIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/678,853	10/03/2003	Isamu Uchida	WAKAB76.002AUS	2450
20995 KNORRE MA	7590 11/02/200 RTENS OI SON & RE	EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			MARTIN, ANGELA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,853	UCHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angela J. Martin	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	· ·	(070,440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,853

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on August 17, 2007. The Applicant has amended claim 1. However, a new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiepe et al., U.S. Pat. No. 7,166,382 B2.

Rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 7 drawn to a process for generating power.

Shiepe et al., teach a process for generating power comprising: a first step of generating power from a fuel cell comprising a fuel electrode, an air electrode and an electrolyte membrane sandwiched therebetween (col. 3, lines 3-40; Fig. 2) wherein the fuel electrode is made of an alloy comprising platinum (col. 6, lines 9-17) and a fuel is a liquid comprising a secondary alcohol (col. 8, lines 42-45), by directly feeding the fuel to the fuel electrode; a second step of contacting the air electrode in the fuel cell with an oxidizable material and applying a current from an external electric source between the

Art Unit: 1795

fuel electrode as negative and the air electrode as positive, after the first step; and a third step of generating power from the fuel cell after the second step (col. 3, lines 3-40; col. 4, lines 20-52). The- process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the fuel electrode is made of an alloy of platinum and at least one metal selected from the group consisting of ruthenium, tin, tungsten, copper, gold manganese and, vanadium (col. 6, lines 9-17). The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the fuel electrode is made of an alloy of platinum and at least one metal selected from the group consisting of ruthenium, tin and tungsten (col. 6, lines 9-17). The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the fuel electrode is made of an alloy comprising platinum and ruthenium (col. 6, lines 9-17). The process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the oxidizable material is water or hydrogen (col. 4, lines 20-24; col. 6, lines 9-11). The process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising, a step of repeating the second step and the third step (col. 3, lines 3-40; col. 4, lines 20-52).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiepe et al., U.S. Pat. No. 7,166,382 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,853

Art Unit: 1795

Shiepe et al., teach a process as described above.

Shiepe et al., do not teach the atomic composition ratio of platinum to the other elements in the alloy.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because although Shiepe et al., do not teach the composition ratio of platinum to other elements in the alloy, because, "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.IN